SUPERIOR COURT

CANADA
PROVINCE OF QUEBEC
DISTRICT OF MONTREAL

No:

500-06-000700-142

DATE:

May 28, 2015

IN THE PRESENCE OF: THE HONOURABLE CHANTAL CORRIVEAU, J.S.C.

DEBBIE CORDA

Petitioner

V.

TODD CHRISTOPHER INTERNATIONAL, INC.

and

TODD CHRISTOPHER INTERNATIONAL LLC

and

VOGUE INTERNATIONAL LLC

Respondents

JUDGMENT

<u>Introduction</u>

[1] On July 24, 2014, the Petitioner filed a Motion to Authorize the Bringing of a Class Action & to Ascribe the Status of Representative (the "Motion to Authorize") against the Respondents on behalf of the following class:

All residents in Canada who have purchased Organix Products or any other group to be determined by the Court;

JC2308

Alternately (or as a subclass)

All residents in Quebec who have purchased Organix Products or any other group to be determined by the Court;

- [2] The Motion to Authorize alleged, *inter alia*, that the Respondents Todd Christopher International Inc, Todd Christopher International LLC, and Vogue International LLC (collectively, "Vogue"), engaged in false and deceptive marketing practices, including, advertising, promotion, and labelling, associated with their Organix Products.
- [3] Specifically, the Motion to Authorize alleged that the Respondents made misrepresentations that the Organix Products were organic, including the use of the product name "Organix", which was chosen to look like and to phonetically sound like the word "organics", when the products are composed almost entirely from ingredients that are not organic.
- [4] On or about March 3, 2015, following negotiations between counsel for the Parties, the Petitioner and the Respondents reached a settlement agreement (the "Settlement Agreement") to settle all claims asserted against themselves in or related to the present Class Action.
- [5] The Settlement Agreement applies to persons who are members of the following class:

The Settlement Class

All persons residing in Canada who purchased Organix Products between October 25, 2008 up to and including the date of the Approval Order.

Excluded from the Class are all Persons who timely and validly request exclusion from the Class pursuant to the Pre-Approval Notice disseminated and published in accordance with the Pre-Approval Order.

Settlement

- [6] The Petitioner and the Respondents have agreed to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, subject to the approval of this Court, and without any admission of liability by the Respondents and for the purpose of resolving the dispute between the parties.
- [7] The following is a summary of the key terms of the Settlement Agreement:
 - A. Direct Compensation

 Vogue will provide each Class Member who submits a qualifying Claim Form with \$4.00 for each Organix Product purchased during the Class Period, up to maximum of \$28.00;

ii. Vogue shall provide payment to each Class Member providing such Compensation does not exceed the Settlement Cap of \$325,000. If providing each Class Member with such Compensation exceeds this Settlement Cap, each Class Members' Compensation shall be reduced on a pro rata basis;

B. Indirect Compensation

- Vogue has also agreed to take reasonable efforts to refrain from the following conduct:
 - a) On and after July 1, 2015, Vogue shall not manufacture or cause to be manufactured any hair care or skin care product using the Organix name unless such product contains at least seventy percent (70%) organically produced ingredients, excluding water and salt; and
 - b) Within thirty (30) days following the Effective Date which is 60 days after the Approval Order has been signed and entered and no appeals have been filed), Vogue shall not use the word "organic" to promote the sale of any hair care or skin care product using the Organix name unless such product contains at least seventy percent (70%) organically produced ingredients, excluding water and salt;
- C. The Respondents also have agreed to pay the following amounts:
 - (a) all costs relating to notice dissemination;
 - (b) all Claims Administration costs;
 - (c) fees and disbursements of Class Counsel, in the amount of \$125,000.00 plus applicable taxes; and
 - (d) an amount of \$1,500.00 to the Petitioner in consideration for the time and effort that she devoted to the case;
- D. The Settlement Agreement provides that, with respect to Quebec residents only, the Fonds d'aide aux recours collectifs will be entitled to claim 2% of each Class Member's Compensation paid in money, as is provided for at s. 1(3) of the Règlement sur le pourcentage prélevé par le Fonds d'aide aux recours collectifs, R.R.Q., c. R-2.1, r. 2;

E. The release for the Respondents includes and encompasses any and all claims related to the allegations of the Motion to Authorize, including past and future damages relating to the allegations contained in the Motion to Authorize, excluding bodily injury;

- F. The Claims Administrator is Borden Ladner Gervais LLP ("BLG"), whose function shall be to receive the claims and issue the payments to Class Members;
- G. In order to submit a Claim, eligible class members need to complete and submit through the Settlement Website, by email or by regular mail a Claim Form (Schedule A of the present Agreement), whereby the eligible class members must make a solemn declaration (not a sworn affidavit);
- H. The Claim Period runs until July 20, 2015;
- 1. The Opt-Out Deadline expires on June 19, 2015;

Class Notice

- [8] In accordance with the Settlement Agreement and this Court's judgment approving the Notice as well as the method of dissemination, notice was effected in the following manner:
 - (a) On April 10, 2015, in the form of an approximately 1/3 of a page advertisement in the first section of the newspaper *La Presse*, in the versions(s) that are distributed in Montreal and any other edition available in the province of Quebec and elsewhere in Canada;
 - (b) On April 17, 2015, in the form of an approximately 1/3 of a page advertisement in the first section of the nationally distributed edition of the newspaper The Globe & Mail;
 - (c) On April 10, 2015, in a Canadian Newswire (CNW) news release in both English and French;
 - (d) On April 2, 2015, in a posting on Class Counsel's website, www.clg.org, and;
 - (e) A settlement website was established at www.haircaresettlement.ca (the "Settlement Website") where the Notice was posted and any and all future information will be posted.
- [9] Also in accordance with the Settlement Agreement, the present Judgment will be posted promptly after the Approval Order on the Settlement Website.

[10] All of the materials disseminated and made available to Class Members, as well as any and all of the information to be disseminated following the Approval Order, are in French and in English.

Authorization

- [11] The Respondents consent to the authorization of the present Motion as a class proceeding for settlement purposes only, which consent shall be withdrawn should the Settlement Agreement not be approved by the Court.
- [12] Even where the Respondents consent to the authorization of a class action for settlement purposes only, the criteria set forth at article 1003 C.C.P. must still be reviewed and met¹.
- [13] In light of the evidence, the Motion to Authorize dated July 24, 2014, the Exhibits in support and the Affidavit of the Petitioner dated May 19, 2015 justify granting the present Motion in accordance with the criteria set forth at article 1003 C.C.P.
- [14] The recourses of the class members raise identical, similar or related questions of law or fact, namely²:
 - a) Did the Respondents engage in unfair, false, misleading, or deceptive acts or practices regarding the marketing and sale of the Organix Products?
 - b) Are the Respondents liable to the class members for reimbursement of the purchase price of the Organix Products as a result of their misconduct?
 - c) Should an injunctive remedy be ordered to prohibit the Respondents from continuing to perpetrate their unfair, false, misleading, and/or deceptive conduct?
 - d) Are the Respondents responsible to pay punitive damages to class members and in what amount?

Vallée c. Hyundai Auto Canada Corp., 2014 QCCS 3778; Schachter c. Toyota Canada inc., 2014 QCCS 802; Markus c. Reebok Canada inc., 2012 QCCS 3562; Richard c. Volkswagen Group Canada inc., 2012 QCCS 5534; Sonego c. Danone inc., 2013 QCCS 2616.
 Cunning c. Fitflop Ltd., 2014 QCCS 586.

- [15] The facts alleged seem to justify the conclusions sought³.
- [16] The composition of the group makes the application of article 59 or 67 C.C.P. difficult or impractical because:
 - a) The thousands of potential Group Members are widely dispersed geographically across Canada;
 - b) Given the costs and risks inherent in an action before the Courts, many people will hesitate to institute an individual action against the Respondents; and
 - c) Individual litigation of the factual and legal issues raised would increase delay and expenses to all parties and to the Court system.
- [17] The Petitioner, who is requesting to obtain the status of representative, will fairly and adequately protect and represent the interest of the Class Members since the Petitioner:
 - a) Is a Class Member;
 - b) Was instrumental in instituting this class action by discovering the existence of the CEH Complaint, the U.S. class action and the settlements related to the same issue as the case at bar, researching further on the internet, and engaging counsel with extensive experience in consumer class actions;
 - c) Provided her attorneys with relevant information and instructed them to proceed with the present proceedings;
 - d) Made sure that the Class Members would be kept up-to-date through her attorneys' well-designed and easily-navigable website;;
 - e) Participated in the settlement negotiations by provided input to her attorneys, ultimately instructing her attorneys to sign the Settlement Agreement;
 - f) Has a good understanding of what this class action is about and what the settlement provides to Class Members;
 - g) Has performed her responsibilities as the representative of the class and she will continue to do so insofar as the proposed settlement is concerned;

³ Articles 41, 215, 216, 218, 219, 220(a), 221(a), (c), (d) & (g) 228, 239(a), 253, 270, and 272 of the *Consumer Protection Act*, CQLR c P-40.1, articles 1401, 1402, 1407, 1457 of the *Civil Code of Québec*, LRQ, c C-1991article 7 and 9(1) of the *Consumer Packaging and Labelling Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c C-38., and sections 36 and 52 of the *Competition Act*, R.S.C. 1985, c C-34.

- h) Has acted in the best interests of the Class Members;
- i) Has not indicated any conflict of interest with the Class Members.

Approval

[18] The Court approves the Settlement Agreement as fair, reasonable and in the best interests of the Class Members based on its analysis of the following factors as set out by the relevant case law, namely:

- les probabilités de succès du recours;
- l'importance et la nature de la preuve administrée;
- les termes et les conditions de la transaction;
- la recommandation des procureurs et leur expérience;
- le coût des dépenses futures et la durée probable du litige;
- la recommandation d'une tierce personne neutre, le cas échéant;
- le nombre et la nature des objections à la transaction;
- la bonne foi des parties;
- l'absence de collusion.⁴
- [19] In particular, the Court finds that:
 - i. No Class Member has objected;
 - ii. The negotiations are deemed to have occurred at arm's-length;
 - iii. The risk, expense, complexity and duration of further litigation weighs in favour of approval;

⁴ Vallée c. Hyundai Auto Canada Corp., 2014 QCCS 3778; Option Consommateurs c. Union canadienne (L'), compagnie d'assurances, 2013 QCCS 5505; Markus c. Reebok Canada inc., 2012 QCCS 3562; Conseil pour la protection des malades c. CHSLD Manoir Trinité, 2014 QCCS 2280; Richard c. Volkswagen Group Canada inc., 2012 QCCS 5534; Bouchard c. Abitibi-Consolidated Inc., (C.S.) Chicoutimi, dossier 150-06-000001-966, 15 juin 2004.

iv. The amount offered in settlement is fair and worthy of approval, especially when weighing the benefits afforded to Class Members against the uncertainties and difficulties associated with obtaining authorization for merits purposes, the expense and length of time necessary to prosecute these proceedings through trial, the uncertainties of the outcome of the proceedings, and the fact that resolution of the class claims, whenever and however determined, would be subject to appellate review and the inherent delays associated therwith;

- v. The promises and commitments of the Parties under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, including the injunctive relief provisions contained therein, thus constitute fair value given in exchange for the releases of the Released Claims against the Released Parties; and
- vi. Class Counsel has extensive expertise in the area of class actions and is recommending the Settlement;

Fees

[20] The Court approves Class Counsel fees and disbursements as fair and reasonable based on its analysis of the following factors as set out in sections 3.08.01 to 3.08.03 of the Code of ethics of advocates⁵, particularly with a view to the objectives of class proceedings (i.e. access to justice, judicial economy, behaviour modification) and the risks assumed by Class Counsel⁶.

[21] Section 3.08.02 of the Code of ethics of advocates states:

3.08.02. The fees are fair and reasonable if they are warranted by the circumstances and correspond to the professional services rendered. In determining his fees, the advocate must in particular take the following factors into account:

- (a) experience;
- (b) the time devoted to the matter;
- (c) the difficulty of the question involved;
- (d) the importance of the matter;
- (e) the responsibility assumed;
- (f) the performance of unusual professional services or professional services requiring exceptional competence or celerity;
- (g) the result obtained;
- (h) the judicial and extrajudicial fees fixed in the tariffs.

⁵ RRQ, c. B-1, r. 1.

⁶ Lavoie c. Régie de l'assurance maladie du Québec, 2013 QCCS 866.

- In particular, the Court finds that: [22]
 - i) The Respondents have agreed to pay Class Counsel's fees in the amount requested, as appears from the Settlement Agreement;
 - ii) No Settlement Class Member has objected to Class Counsel's fees. The Notice disseminated to Class Members stated that Class Counsel would be requesting Class Counsel fees:
 - iii) The Mandate Agreement with the Petitioner provides that Class Counsel will receive the higher of 30% of the total value of the settlement or a multiplier of 3.5 times the total number of hours worked, plus disbursements and taxes. The fees and disbursements sought are less than those under the Madate Agreement:
 - iv) The action involves complex legal issues and, in the absence of a settlement, would involve lengthy proceedings with an uncertain resolution and possible appeals;
 - v) Class Counsel assumed all of the financial risks associated with initiating, financing, and maintaining the litigation;
 - vi) Class Counsel fees, at present, represent a 1.08 times multiplier on the actual time incurred, which is appropriate in the circumstances⁷:

This Judgment is based upon the foregoing findings of fact and conclusions of law, which are supported by the substantial evidence presented by the Parties hereto and the Class Members, all of which the Court has considered and is in the record before the Court.

POUR CES MOTIFS, LE TRIBUNAL : WHEREFORE, THE COURT:

[24] **ACCUEILLE** la présente requête; [24] **GRANTS** the present motion;

[25] ORDONNE que, pour l'application de ce [25] ORDERS that for the purposes of this jugement, les définitions énoncées à la judgment, the definitions contained in the Convention de règlement, R-3, s'appliquent et Settlement Agreement, R-3, shall apply and y sont incorporées par renvoi;

are incorporated by reference;

[26] AUTORISE l'exercice de ce recours [26] AUTHORIZES the bringing of a class

⁷ Guilbert c. Sony BMG Musique (Canada) inc., 2007 QCCS 432; Sony BMG Musique (Canada) inc. c. Guilbert, 2009 QCCA 231; Sonego c. Danone inc., 2013 QCCS 2616.

collectif contre les Intimées pour les fins d'un action against the Respondents for the règlement hors cour seulement;

purposes of settlement only:

[27] ATTRIBUE au requérant le statut de [27] ASCRIBES to the Petitioner the status of représentant du groupe ci-après décrit:

representative of the group herein described

«Toutes les personnes résidant au Canada qui ont acheté des produits de soins des cheveux et de la peau de marque Organix entre le 25 octobre 2008 et le 28 mai 2015 ».

"All persons residing in Canada who purchased Organix Products between October 25, 2008 and May 28, 2015".

- [28] **DÉCLARE** que la Convention de [28] Annexes) (ci-après « la Convention de Schedules) (hereinafter sont pas exclus en temps opportun;
- DECLARES that the Settlement règlement, R-3 (incluant son préambule et ses Agreement, R-3 (including its Preamble and its Règlement ») constitue une transaction au Agreement") constitutes a transaction within sens des articles 2631 et suivant du Code civil the meaning of articles 2631 and following of du Québec, obligeant toutes les parties et tous the Civil Code of Quebec, binding all parties les Membres du recours collectif qui ne se and all Class Members who have not excluded themselves in a timely manner;
- dans ce document ont le sens qui leur est Settlement Agreement, R-3; attribué dans la Convention de règlement, R-3;
- [29] ORDONNE ET DÉCLARE que, sauf [29] ORDERS AND DECLARES that, except disposition contraire dans le présent jugement, as otherwise specified in, or as modified, by ou tels qu'ils peuvent être modifiés par celui-ci, this Judgment, capitalized terms used herein les termes qui commencent par une maiuscule shall have the meaning ascribed in the
- [30] **DÉCLARE** que la Convention de [30] intérêt des Membres du Groupe, du Requérant Petitioner and the Respondents; et des Intimées:
- DECLARES that the Settlement règlement, R-3, est valide, équitable et Agreement, R-3, is valid, fair, reasonable and raisonnable, et qu'elle est dans le meilleur in the best interest of the Class Members, the
- R-3:
- [31] APPROUVE la Convention de règlement, [31] APPROVES the Settlement Agreement, R-3:
- [32] **DÉCLARE** que la Convention de [32] jugement;
- **DECLARES** that the Settlement règlement, R-3, fait partie intégrale du présent Agreement, R-3, is an integral part of this judgment;
- [33] ORDONNE aux parties et aux Membres [33] ORDERS the parties and the Class du Groupe, sauf ceux exclus conformément à Members, with the exception of those who are la Convention de règlement et au présent excluded in accordance with the terms and jugement, de se conformer aux termes et conditions of the Settlement Agreement and conditions de la Convention de règlement, R- with this judgment, to abide by the terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement, R-3;
- [34] APPROUVE la forme et le contenu du [34] APPROVES the form and content of the

respectivement comme étant les Annexes A et Agreement, R-3; C de la Convention de règlement, R-3;

Formulaire de réclamation et du Formulaire de Claim Form and Opt Out Form, respectively as demande d'exercice du droit d'exclusion, Schedules A and C of the Settlement

- [35] ORDONNE que chaque membre du [35] ORDERS that each Class Member who d'exercice du droit d'exclusion (Annexe C de la 3); Convention de règlement, R-3);
- groupe qui désire s'exclure de la Convention wishes to opt-out of the Settlement Agreement, de règlement, R-3, et, par conséquence, ne R-3, and thus not be bound by the Settlement pas être lié par la Convention de règlement, Agreement, must do so in conformity with the soit tenu d'agir conformément à la Convention Settlement Agreement and the Opt Out Form de règlement et au Formulaire de demande (Schedule C of the Settlement Agreement, R-
- [36] APPROUVER le calendrier relatif à [36] APPROVES the schedule regarding the l'administration de la Convention règlement, à savoir :
 - de administration of the Settlement Agreement, namely:
 - a) L'échéance pour exercice du droit d'exclusion : le 19 juin 2015;
- The deadline for opting out of the (a) Settlement Agreement: June 19, 2015:
- b) L'échéance pour transmettre une réclamation conforme à la Convention de règlement : le 20 juillet 2015:
- (b) The deadline to file a claim under the Settlement Agreement: July 20, 2015:
- remplis et soumis de la manière prévue à la manner Convention de règlement, R-3;
- [37] DÉCLARE que pour être valides, les [37] DECLARES that to be eligible, Claims Formulaires de réclamation doivent être Forms must be completed and submitted in the stipulated Settlement by the Agreement, R-3;
- [38] ORDONNE que les prélèvements par le [38] ORDERS that the levies by the Fonds Fonds d'aide aux Recours collectifs:
- Fonds d'aide aux recours collectifs soient d'aide aux recours collectifs be collected as effectués tel que prévue à la Convention de provided for in the Settlement Agreement, R-3, règlement, R-3, et soient remis conformément and remitted according to the Loi sur le à la Loi sur le recours collectifs, et le recours collectifs, and the Règlement sur le Règlement sur le pourcentage prélevé par le pourcentage prélevé par le Fonds d'aide aux recours collectifs:
- par les présentes, Administrateur des Réclamations aux fins du for the settlement: rèalement:
- [39] ORDONNE que Borden Ladner Gervais [39] ORDERS that Borden Ladner Gervais LLP nommé is hereby appointed as Claims Administrator
- [40] ORDONNE que la Requérante se voit [40] ORDERS that the Petitioner shall be paid intenter l'Action en vue d'obtenir ce règlement; settlement;
- verser des honoraires de 1,500 \$ en an honorarium of \$1,500 in recognition of her reconnaissance de ses efforts déployés pour efforts in prosecuting the Action through
- [41] APPROUVE le versement aux Procureurs [41] APPROVES the payment to Class

du Requérant des honoraires extrajudiciaires Counsel of its extrajudicial fees and costs in règlement, R-3;

et frais tel que prévu à la Convention de the amount provided for in the Settlement Agreement, R-3;

l'Administrateur des Réclamations www.haircaresettlement.ca:

[42] ORDONNE qu'un exemplaire du présent [42] ORDERS that a copy of this Judgment jugement soit affiché sur le site web de shall be posted on Claims Administrator's à website at www.haircaresettlement.ca;

prévaudra;

[43] **DÉCLARE** que dans le cas de divergence [43] **DECLARES** that in the case of any entre les conclusions françaises et anglaises discrepancy between the French and English de ce jugement, la version française conclusions of this judgment, the French version will prevail;

[44] **RÉSERVE** le droit des parties de [44] **RESERVE** the right of parties to ask the s'adresser au tribunal pour solutionner Court to settle any dispute arising from the quelque litige que ce soit découlant de la Settlement Agreement, R-3; Convention de règlement, R-3;

Chan'l Clavierc

LE TOUT, sans frais.

THE WHOLE, without costs.

CHANTAL CORRIVEAU, j.c.s.

Me Jeff Orenstein and Me Andrea Grass CONSUMER LAW GROUP INC. Attorneys for the Petitioners

Me Robert Charbonneau BORDEN, LADNER, GERVAIS Attorneys for the Respondents

Date of hearing: May 25, 2015